

MINUTES

Meeting: Corsham Community Area Transport Group (CATG)
Place: Virtual meeting via Microsoft Teams
Date: Wednesday 12th January 2022
Time: 14:00

Please direct any enquiries to Sarah Dearden (Senior Traffic Engineer), via email sarah.dearden@wiltshire.gov.uk

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
	Date of meeting: 12th January 2022			
1.	Attendees and apologies			
	Present:	Cllr Ruth Hopkinson(Chair Wiltshire Council), Cllr Helen Belcher (Wiltshire Council), Sarah Dearden (Wiltshire Council Highways), Kate Davey (Wiltshire Council Highways), David Arnup (Wiltshire Council Highways), Gemma Winslow (Wiltshire Council Highways), James Whittleton (Corsham TC), Cllr Brian Mathew-left early (Wiltshire Council), Steve Abbott (Corsham TC), Peter Shaw (Lacock PC), Stuart Gregory (Lacock PC), Robert Davies (Box PC), Anna Woollard (Box PC), Richard Campbell (Box PC)		
	Apologies:	Cllr Derek Walters (Wiltshire Council), Spencer Drinkwater (Wiltshire Council), Ros Griffiths (Wiltshire Council), Rebecca Smith (Box PC),		
2.	Notes of last meeting			
		The notes of the last meeting held on 29 th September 2021 were accepted as a true record.	Noted and agreed.	

3.	Financial Position			
		See Finance sheet. 2021/22 allocation is £10,819.00 (previous years £10,951.00). 2020/21 underspend was £31,785.91 and the current commitments total £49,563.10 giving a remaining budget of £11,467.34	Noted and agreed.	
4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes			

<p>a)</p>	<p><u>5-21-2</u> B3109 Bradford Road, Rudloe</p>	<p>Request for a safe crossing to be installed, for example a formal signal-controlled crossing. Box PC raised concerns over residents of Redcliffe development on journeys to school. Gap in footway not allowing practical use of new Toucan crossing from this location. The Parish Council requests that the speed limit on the B3109 be reduced from 50 mph to 30 mph on the stretch of the road going from the mini fiveways junction at the top of Westwells Road, past the housing development and the access to Corsham Primary School. The Parish Council would also request a reduction to 20 mph at school opening and closing.</p> <p>As specified in DfT circular 01/13 Setting Local Speed Limits, speed limits should not be used to attempt to solve an isolated issue, the provision of adequate footways in rural locations is a more effective way to improve pedestrian safety than lowering the speed limit over a short distance. The Bellway site plan shows a footway link east of the Dickens Gate development connecting with Skynet Drive. Once this is constructed it is suggested this route is promoted for a safer route to school. Discuss option of substantive bid for a footway from the eastern development to link with toucan crossing. Topographical survey required to progress.</p> <p>Cllr Walters expressed concerns relating to the speed limit and highlighted concerns over whether this issue is being fully addressed.</p> <p>Box PC commented they are unhappy about position of toucan crossing requesting a site meeting. Headteacher proposes reduction in speed limit with option for flashing 20mph advisory speed limit.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>Robert Davies raised his concerns for the area regarding crossing the road at certain points, had dropped off points been included in initial investigations, Ruth conformed they had. Concerns pedestrian access to the crossing from Park Lane and Dickens estate 180m away from refuge and drop off points. Concerns noted.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Waiting for Topo and SLA data Sarah to chase.</p>	<p>SD</p>
-----------	---	--	--	-----------

<p>a, conti nued</p>	<p><u>5-21-2 continued</u></p>	<p>Cllr Hopkinson gave a comprehensive background on the toucan crossing connection with Skynet Drive and reasoning behind it in relation to the development.</p> <p>BPC and CTC agree their contributions towards Topo survey and Speed limit assessment (£4500- CATG £3375-BPC+CTC £562.5 each)</p> <p>Topo and SLA ordered.</p>		
<p>b)</p>	<p><u>5-21-3</u> Pool Green / Elley Green junction, Neston, Corsham</p>	<p>Concerns over pedestrian safety as no footpath at this junction and visibility is limited. Parents do not like walking this section with buggies and young children as do not feel safe as there is no proper footpath and traffic does not slow down to turn into junction. This road is busy at school times and with a bus route.</p> <p>Preschool numbers have increased due to closure of Cotswold Centre and new housing meaning more pedestrians using this route. Pedestrian movements in this area are difficult due to limited visibility at this junction and lack of footway. Corsham TC support this issue and agree to fund any survey work plus 25% towards implementation up to £5,000. Group supports issue.</p> <p>Design and estimate to be completed when resources allow this issue to reach top priority list.</p> <p>SD and DW had site visit to discuss options, plan and cost estimate to be completed in new year.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>CATG agreed to allocate £3500</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Sarah to continue site investigation and complete design and costs for next CATG meeting</p>	<p>SD</p>

<p>c)</p>	<p><u>5-20-4 / 5-20-6 (previously Issue 6876)</u></p> <p>Request for 20mph speed limit on residential streets around Corsham.</p>	<p>Cost estimate for speed limit assessments is £2,500. 25% contribution of £625. Corsham TC have now submitted plans for Corsham and Neston to be assessed. Group agreed funding. Corsham TC confirmed contribution.</p> <p>20mph speed limit assessment is in progress, however we are currently waiting to carry out the data collection/traffic surveys until the national restrictions surrounding Covid-19 are relaxed in order to get a true reading of 'normal' traffic speeds for the assessment. KD/JW discussed traffic surveys in January 2021 and agreed due to latest Covid-19 national lockdown to defer this work until the restrictions are eased and traffic returns to normal volumes.</p> <p>Assessment to be carried out as soon as possible. Currently, the timeframe is for traffic surveys to be undertaken over summer period and reports to be finalised in the autumn. Corsham Town Council want surveys done term time-KD to request. Plans forwarded to Cllr Walters showing extents of surveys(KD)</p> <p>Surveys were delayed due to covid backlog. Also some delays due to road closures within certain areas.</p> <p>Surveys have been completed, waiting for final reports.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>CATG agreed to allocate £5389.34</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Waiting for final reports, Sarah to chase and forward to CTC and Wiltshire Councillor's when complete.</p>	<p>SD</p>
-----------	--	---	---	-----------

<p>d)</p>	<p><u>5-21-9</u> Fosse Way / Bath Road junction, Coleerne</p>	<p>Concerns over vehicle accidents along this route and around the bend. Requests for a review of signing along this route, in particular speed limit signing and reduction to 50mph.</p> <p>Coleerne PC and BM commented on safety concerns along this route and request a signing review.</p> <p>SD to undertake signing review in the new year.</p> <p>(if speed limit assessment required submit new separate issue).</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>Signing review to be undertaken in first instance and installations monitored. CATG agreed to allocate £2500 towards improvements.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Sarah to undertake review and produce design and costs</p>	<p>SD</p>
<p>e,</p>	<p><u>5-21-18</u> Corsham Smiths Yard/High Street-Street Nameplate</p>	<p>No Street name sign, there was one previously but no longer. It leads to confusion for delivery drivers etc.</p> <p>To be discussed at meeting. All agreed an issue. Plan and costs required. Plans attached -2 options to discuss. Option 1 £103.81 Option 2 £121.88</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>All discussed option 1 and 2, Helen and Ruth agreed option 1 would be the better option due to the location, Steve initially went with option 2 due to wanting to declutter signing in the town, but due to the location and the building prior to Smith Yard being a lot further out than the next building and blocking visibility option 1 was agreed upon.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Prepare option 1 for construction CTC £26/CATG £78</p>	<p>SD</p>

<p>e)</p>	<p>5-19-9 Freestone Way, Corsham – position of bus stop.</p>	<p>Corsham TC confirm support for consideration of 3 bus stops along this route.</p> <p>KD commented that without installing kassel bus stop kerbs the price will decrease by approx. half</p> <p>Confirm support and contribution after P&A meeting. Group agreed funding if CTC confirm cheaper option & contribution.)(TC)</p> <p>Request Passenger Transport erect flag signs on lamp columns at each site. Send example kerbs to CTC.(KD)</p> <p>New plans attached and Costs received: Totals 3 sites £15,617.30 <table data-bbox="633 890 1433 1093"> <tr> <td>CATG (75%) £11,712.97</td> <td>CTC (25%) £3904.33</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location X= CATG £3885.07</td> <td>CTC £1295.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location Y= CATG £3885.07</td> <td>CTC £1295.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location Z (possible drainage issue further investigation needed) = CATG £3942.84</td> <td>CTC £1314.28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Catman HD CATG £156.60</td> <td>CTC £52.19</td> </tr> </table> <p>CTC agree to fund £11,712.97 towards all 3 sites. CATG agree to pay the outstanding £4000.</p> <p>If all in agreement-Works can be ordered and added to construction program for next financial year.</p> </p>	CATG (75%) £11,712.97	CTC (25%) £3904.33	Location X= CATG £3885.07	CTC £1295.02	Location Y= CATG £3885.07	CTC £1295.02	Location Z (possible drainage issue further investigation needed) = CATG £3942.84	CTC £1314.28	Catman HD CATG £156.60	CTC £52.19	<p>.Action Proceed scheme to order and construction</p>	<p>SD</p>
CATG (75%) £11,712.97	CTC (25%) £3904.33													
Location X= CATG £3885.07	CTC £1295.02													
Location Y= CATG £3885.07	CTC £1295.02													
Location Z (possible drainage issue further investigation needed) = CATG £3942.84	CTC £1314.28													
Catman HD CATG £156.60	CTC £52.19													

f)	<p><u>5-20-5</u> Memorial Garden, Market Place, Colerne</p>	<p>Colerne PC have improved disabled access to the Memorial Gardens and are now requesting a dropped kerb and bus stop hard standing area.</p> <p>Group agreed to move to top priority when resources are available. Site visit with Colerne PC to be undertaken once Covid-19 national lockdown restrictions are eased.</p> <p>Site meeting undertaken in May 2021. Please see attached proposal plan, the cost estimate is in the region of £3,200 (CATG: £2,400. 25% contribution: £800).</p> <p>All agreed to fund the scheme if Colerne agree and confirm contribution. Colerne to install heritage lighting at own expense, coordinate with Wiltshire Council to implement-no action for WC</p> <p>Works added to construction programme date TBC (TTRO required for suspension of parking during works).</p> <p>TTRO and works package completed and issued.</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Sarah to find out programme date and confirm to Colerne PC</p>	SD
----	--	---	---	----

<p>9,</p>	<p><u>5-21-20</u></p> <p>Corsham Station Road. Building protection</p>	<p>Cars swing into Station Road from Pickwick Road (outside The Methuen Arms) and they often take the corner too wide. On a couple of occasions, they have hit the side of the house (3 Station Rd). Lorry had previously taken out some of the window ledge on the first floor and another time a car drove into the side of the house and scrapped and dented the wall. Whole house shook.</p> <p>Possibility of bollards/planters to be installed for protection, CTC supports the request but needs to be in keeping with the area (no plastic etc) options to be looked at.</p> <p>All agreed an issue and move to top priority list.</p> <p>Plans attached. (its to be noted that if scheme goes ahead, the exact location of the bollards may change due to an underground cable running along the side of the carriageway in line with preferred bollards location-CATMAN costed for in estimate to determine location of cable)</p> <p>To be discussed in more detail.</p> <p>Cost estimate £1674.10</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>Concerns raised over the type of bollards to be used, or if stones/planters could be used. Sarah states that there's no physical reason steel bollards/planters can't be used but due to maintenance issues if they are hit and damaged its unlikely to be replaced by maintenance. Possible agreement required that CTC fund any new bollards/planters if needed and highway maintenance install-conversation required wit David Arnup once CTC agree what they want to use there.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Helen/James to take back to Properties and Amenities.</p>	<p>HB/JW</p>
-----------	---	---	---	--------------

5.	Other Priority Schemes		
a)	<p><u>Issue 6829</u></p> <p>Lacock village – restriction for tourist coach restriction.</p>	<p>Preliminary design sent to Lacock Parish Council for consideration. The estimated costs of this scheme including data collection surveys before and after to analyze success of signing scheme is in the region of £6,000. A 25% contribution would be £1,500. Lacock PC confirmed they do not support this proposal. Subsequently withdrawn and issue removed from priority list. Lacock PC to escalate the issue at strategic level. Group agreed to leave on agenda for now.</p> <p>Lacock PC explained they have written to Western Gateway regarding the impact Melksham bypass will have on the village and coaches. Requests for strategic plan made to Cllr Wayman and MP Michelle Donelan. It was also suggested to contact Cllr Philip Whitehead – Leader of Wiltshire Council to highlight these issues further. No further action currently.</p> <p>Leave on agenda for now and monitor. Melksham Bypass route selection process might have an impact on this issue in the future.</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>None at present</p>

<p>b)</p>	<p>5-20-2 Lacock Road, Corsham – verge deterioration, request for footway/kerbing installation.</p>	<p>Request for new footway and kerb line along Lacock Road on the southern side for the extent of the properties.</p> <p>PW explained the issues with parents parking on the double yellow lines and issues being cause by the muddy verge for residents. A footway along the south side of Lacock Road has been looked at before through TAOSJ in 2010 and 2015 but was not recommended due to large scale drainage works and relocation of utilities. It was felt this solution would also encourage more parents to drive and park if there was a footway introduced.</p> <p>GW confirmed road markings are in place and visible. KD has requested targeted enforcement by Parking Services team at school times. Ball park figure supplied to Corsham TC for kerbing works. In the region of £20,000 – £25,000. Concerns over safety and parking issues surrounding the school. Request for investigation into school keep clear markings, no loading restriction. Possible scheme for future substantive bid funding. Group agreed to allocate £2,000 for now</p> <p>Site visit undertaken in June 2021 and discussed with David Arnup. Utility identification and gully clearing work to be carried out prior to finalising any design or cost estimate for this project.</p> <p>C Gully clearing and utility identification completed 17/9/21. SD/KD met on site to discuss utility findings and proposed options. Findings show potential for kerblines but requires a Topographical survey and Trial holes to determine buildability. Cost of Topo £2000 (CATG £1500 CTC £500) Cost of Trial Holes £4200 (CATG £3150 CTC £1050) cost valid until 31/3/22) Cost estimate for the installation of scheme £35,000 and would require a substantive bid in September 2022(if moved to top priority)</p>	<p>Action</p> <p>None at present</p>	
-----------	--	---	---	--

<p>c)</p>	<p><u>5-21-4</u> Prospect, Box</p>	<p>Concerns regarding the danger to horse riders along this route. Request for horse warning signs to raise awareness of potential danger.</p> <p>No representative from Box PC at September meeting- roll over action -BPC actioned with collecting data evidence to present at this meeting.(Jan 2022).</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>CATG agreed to remove this from list</p>	<p>SD</p>
<p>d)</p>	<p><u>5-21-6</u> Boxfields Road crossroads junction with White Ennox Lane</p>	<p>The Parish Council request that a crossroads warning sign be erected on Boxfields Road in advance of this junction to reduce the danger of farm vehicles using this junction.</p> <p>Box PC actioned with collecting data evidence to present at this meeting.</p> <p>Email received from Box PC-observations made that up to 12 agricultural vehicles emerge from the crossroads with little visibility and need to pull right out to get a view to proceed. The STOP sign needs replacing as faded and unreadable (Gemma/David to look at), also issue with parents parking near a bend whilst visiting the Woodland Adventure facility.</p> <p>Maintenance of signs and lines to be looked at by DA.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>David Arnup states stop sign is ok, and that the lining does need refreshing, due to the time of year these works will be done April/May- Ruth suggests removing from the agenda all agreed.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>David to add to lining programme for the spring. Sarah to remove from list</p>	<p>DA SD</p>

<p>e,</p>	<p><u>5-20-8</u> Leafy Lane, Rudloe, Box</p>	<p>Referred to previous proposal submitted 2/3 years ago. Group agreed to revisit this issue and move to top priority when resources are available. BA arranged virtual meeting to discuss issues held on 11/01/21. Site visit undertaken by KD to assess the site further. To be discussed at the meeting. Discussed previous proposal and information on this project so far. Box PC confirmed they are supportive of the issue. BA requested additional coloured surfacing to the proposal. Highway officers discussed the pros/cons to this element on the maintenance side of things. Group agreed to allocate £1,000 for now.</p> <p>Proposal plan attached and updated estimate sent to Box PC for review in May 2021. Cost in the region of £3,800 (CATG: £2,850. 25% contribution: £950)</p> <p>Box PC email 15/6/21 – requested the CATG put this scheme on hold until further options have been considered.</p> <p>Removed from Priority list and moved to other priorities.</p> <p>SSE fence obstructing visibility Cllr Walters agreed to meet PC on site to discuss further.</p> <p>DW/BM agreed to speak with BPC about how to proceed with this scheme.</p> <p>BPC not agreed to any of the options provided by Kate previously.</p> <p>If BPC require a SLA then a new highway issue form will need to be raised in order to add it to future agenda for discussions.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>Kate explained background, Box PC not agreed to any of the proposals designed.</p> <p>Leave on for now.</p> <p>Box PC advised new issue to be raised for a speed limit assessment request.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>BPC to raise new highway improvement request form</p>	<p>BPC</p>
-----------	---	--	--	------------

f,	<p><u>5-21-12</u> Corsham Park Lane - Speed limit</p>	<p>The Town Council has received a number of comments from residents in the new developments off Park Lane about the need to reduce the speed limit at the western end of the road. At present Park Lane has a 30mph limit from its junction with the A4 to the Peel Circus turning. The area from Peel Circus to Westwells Road is a 40mph limit. It could be argued that the nature of the road has changed (following the building and occupancy of the new housing) and that a 40mph limit might no longer be appropriate. This is especially true for the section between Peel Circus and Hudswell Lane.</p> <p>All agreed to leave this issue on the agenda until space on priority list becomes available.</p> <p>Note: CTC have confirmed to contribute their 25% (£625) towards a SLA if issue progressed.</p>	<p><u>Action</u> None at present</p>	
g,	<p><u>5-21-14</u> Corsham, A4 Pickwick junction with Middlewick Lane</p>	<p>Residents concerned about safety in crossing the A4 at Pickwick in the vicinity of Middlewick Lane. There is current no formal crossing and many dog walkers, parents with children and joggers cross at this location. Traffic is speeding through the village in excess of the 30mph speed limit. Request for signal-controlled crossing.</p> <p>All agreed to leave this issue on the agenda until space on priority list becomes available to investigate a pedestrian survey. Note: CTC agree their 25% (£250) contribution towards a Pedestrian assessment should CATG agree to move to top priority.</p>	<p><u>Action</u> None at present</p>	

h,	<p><u>5-21-17</u> Corsham, Potley Lane – Congestion and speeding</p>	<p>Congestion and speeding on the stretch of Potley Lane between the Leafield Industrial Estate and Potley Bridge, especially the middle section where the carriageway becomes single lane due to on street parking. Request for speed reduction measures such as traffic calming/20mph speed limit. Possibly widening the carriageway through the narrow section if feasible.</p> <p>All agreed to leave this issue on the agenda until space on priority list becomes available. Note: CTC have agreed their 25% (£625) contribution towards a SLA should the scheme progress to top priority.</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>None at present</p>	
i,	<p><u>Issue 7043</u> High Street, Lacock – High St/West St request for junction modifications.</p>	<p>Drainage survey complete. Street Lighting survey complete. Lacock Parish Council to review and consult locally on lighting design. Currently, the deadline for substantive bids to be submitted is September 2021. Consider CATG/LPC contributions ready for bid process. LPC to confirm whether to have a Stage 1 RSA carried out at this point regarding the minimal lighting option.</p> <p>LPC to carry out further local consultation including street lighting options.</p> <p>LPC to confirm contribution towards scheme ready for substantive bid process.</p> <p><u>DISCUSSION</u> LPC & KD discussed the street lighting options and confirmed overall scheme costs with the group. Group agreed contribution of £1,000 towards scheme. LPC confirmed £20,000 towards bid.</p> <p>No action at present-Substantive Bid Submitted.- Awaiting outcome.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>Substantive bid unsuccessful this time, LPC request meeting with Sarah/Kate to discuss how to proceed with a new bid this year.</p> <p>Other recommendations for the village that came about from Atkins report will need new highway improvement request forms submitted for a new issue.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Arrange progression meeting</p>	<p>LPC/SD/KD</p>

j,	<p><u>Issue 6886</u> A4 and Cross Keys Road junction. Request for reduction in speed limit.</p>	<p>Cost estimate for speed limit assessments is £2,500. in the. Corsham TC did confirm support & 25% contribution for assessment. Cross Keys construction project now finished. Group agreed funding for assessment. Corsham TC confirmed 25% contribution.</p> <p>SA raised concerns over recommendation requesting that section 1 be reduced to 40mph. Officers explained criteria relating to setting speed limit. Request for information on whether environmental impact assessment is carried out as part of the assessment. Group agreed to fund implementation with estimate of £7,000 (CATG £5,250). Subject to Corsham TC confirming 25% contribution of £1,750.</p> <p>JW asked a process related question. KD confirmed if Corsham TC convince the rest of the group Councillors that a 40mph speed limit should be imposed, this will have to be escalated to the Head of Highways and Asset Management.</p> <p>Ruth and Helen to work with CTC to put case forward to GR. (HB to add to P&A agenda for discussion). Agreed to remove from priority list at present as no WC time required.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>Item was discussed at properties and amenities meeting and agreed to progress case. Kate reiterates case needs to go to Gareth Rogers.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Helen/Ruth to put case forward to Gareth Rogers.</p>	<p>HB/RH</p>
----	--	--	---	--------------

<p>k,</p>	<p><u>Issue 5818</u> <u>Issue 6364</u></p> <p>Park Lane, Corsham - issues with parking in vicinity of Chestnut Grange & Purleigh Road.</p>	<p>Monitor parking issues as the developments on Park Lane progress and collate data received from local residents on the inappropriate parking at this location. Group agreed to leave on agenda and continue to monitor until developments have been completed. RH raised potential future issue with increased on street parking due to one unit wanting a change of use. New regulations allow for this without a requirement to apply or impose conditions, therefore this may lead to further parking issues at this location. DA confirmed patching sites have been submitted and are in hand. Group agreed to leave on the agenda for now and monitor.</p> <p>JW commented that most of the development is now complete and so now would be a good time to review this issue. Group agreed to take a holistic approach and revisit this issue.</p> <p>Site visit undertaken in June 2021. Options to be discussed at the meeting.</p> <p>HB and AC confirmed the parking issue has become increasingly worse in the last few weeks (June 2021)</p> <p>Further site visits required to monitor parking situation SD complete no issues at present, agreed to remove from priority list until any new evidence produced.</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>None at present</p>	
-----------	--	--	--	--

6.	New Requests / Issues		
a,	<p><u>5-21-19</u></p> <p>Box, Market Place</p>	<p>Concerns over large vehicles for McColl's being mis directed down the narrow one-way road of Market Place, residents park cars outside on road and large lorries getting stuck trying to get through. PC request a warning sign for narrow road and unsuitable for large lorries. BPC not at September meeting so deferred to Jan 2022 meeting for discussion.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>BPC explained the issue of large delivery vehicle from McColl's going down the narrow Market Place, residents park their cars along road also which is causing conflict. McColl's deliveries should stop on A4 or back into the delivery area to unload but not doing this, possibly so not to block A4 traffic. Possible Road narrow/Unsuitable for HGV signs required. To be looked at once on the top priority list.</p>

7.	AOB		
		<p>Sarah reminds Councils that any new issues must be reported in the correct way by filling in the highway improvement request form and sent in to CATGRequests@wiltshire.gov.uk, where it will be recorded, given a unique number and passed to Sarah to be added to the agenda for the next CATG meeting.</p>	
8.			
	<p><u>TOP FIVE PRIORITY SCHEMES LISTED BELOW (SCHEMES HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW REQUIRE FUNDING APPROVAL FROM THE AREA BOARD):</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 5-20-4 Request for 20mph speed limit on residential streets, Corsham SL Assessment £2,500 (CATG £1875, Corsham TC £625) Installation works £5415.34 2. 5-19-9 Freestone Way, Corsham Bus Stops £15,617.30 (CATG £4000, Corsham TC £11,712.97) 3. 5-20-5 Memorial Gardens/Market Place Colerne footway works £3200 (CATG £2400, Colerne PC £800) 4. 5-21-2 B3109 Bradford Road Rudloe Speed limit assessment and topo £4500 (CATG £3375, Corsham TC/Box PC £1125) 5. 5-21-3 Pool Green/Elley Green Junction Pedestrian works £3500 6. 5-21-9 Fosseway/Bath Road Junction Colerne signing review £2500 7. 5-21-18 Smiths Yard/High Street Corsham, nameplate £78 8. 5-21-20 Corsham Station Road building protection £xxxx 		
9.			
	<p>Date of Next Meeting</p>	<p>TBC @ 2pm via MS Teams-Meeting dates for new financial year will be sent out once dates of Area Board meetings known</p>	

Corsham Community Area Transport Group

Highways Officer – Sarah Dearden

1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Corsham Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Corsham Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£0**

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding Implications

6.1 There are no safeguarding implications

7. Recommendations

Corsham Area Board are asked to approve recommendations